

Initial Public Offerings 2020

Contributing editors
Joshua Ford Bonnie and Kevin P Kennedy



Publisher

Tom Barnes

tom.barnes@lbresearch.com

Subscriptions

Claire Bagnall

claire.bagnall@lbresearch.com

Senior business development managers

Adam Sargent

adam.sargent@gettingthedealthrough.com

Dan White

dan.white@gettingthedealthrough.com

Published by

Law Business Research Ltd

87 Lancaster Road

London, W11 1QQ, UK

Tel: +44 20 3780 4147

Fax: +44 20 7229 6910

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. This information is not intended to create, nor does receipt of it constitute, a lawyer-client relationship. The publishers and authors accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. The information provided was verified between June and July 2019. Be advised that this is a developing area.

© Law Business Research Ltd 2019

No photocopying without a CLA licence.

First published 2015

Fifth edition

ISBN 978-1-83862-141-4

Printed and distributed by

Encompass Print Solutions

Tel: 0844 2480 112



Initial Public Offerings 2020

Contributing editors**Joshua Ford Bonnie and Kevin P Kennedy****Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP**

Lexology Getting The Deal Through is delighted to publish the fifth edition of *Initial Public Offerings*, which is available in print and online at www.lexology.com/gtdt.

Lexology Getting The Deal Through provides international expert analysis in key areas of law, practice and regulation for corporate counsel, cross-border legal practitioners, and company directors and officers.

Throughout this edition, and following the unique Lexology Getting The Deal Through format, the same key questions are answered by leading practitioners in each of the jurisdictions featured.

Lexology Getting The Deal Through titles are published annually in print. Please ensure you are referring to the latest edition or to the online version at www.lexology.com/gtdt.

Every effort has been made to cover all matters of concern to readers. However, specific legal advice should always be sought from experienced local advisers.

Lexology Getting The Deal Through gratefully acknowledges the efforts of all the contributors to this volume, who were chosen for their recognised expertise. We also extend special thanks to the contributing editors, Joshua Ford Bonnie and Kevin P Kennedy of Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP, for their continued assistance with this volume.



London

July 2019

Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd

This article was first published in July 2019

For further information please contact editorial@gettingthedealthrough.com

Contents

Global overview	3	Luxembourg	38
Joshua Ford Bonnie and Kevin P Kennedy Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP		François Warken and Laurent Schummer Arendt & Medernach SA	
Belgium	4	Malta	44
Arnaud Coibion, Gilles Nejman, Thierry L'Homme, Filip Lecoutre, Xavier Taton and Nicolas Lippens Linklaters LLP		Malcolm Falzon and Nicola Buhagiar Camilleri Preziosi Advocates	
Germany	10	New Zealand	50
Alexander Schlee Linklaters LLP		Michael Pritchard Mayne Wetherell	
Hong Kong	15	South Africa	55
Celia Lam and Christopher Wong Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP		Ezra Davids, David Yuill and Ryan Wessels Bowmans	
Ireland	21	Sweden	61
Lee Murphy and Ryan Duggan Eversheds Sutherland		Carl-Johan Pousette and Marcus Tipner Advokatfirman Hammarskiöld & Co	
Italy	28	Switzerland	67
Enrico Giordano and Maria Carmela Falcone Chiomenti		Philippe Weber and Christina Del Vecchio Niederer Kraft Frey Ltd	
Japan	34	United Kingdom	78
Kohei Koikawa, Masashi Ueda and Takahiro Yokota Nishimura & Asahi		Clare Gaskell, Deborah Harris and Lucy Gillett Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP	
		United States	86
		Joshua Ford Bonnie and Kevin P Kennedy Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP	

Japan

Kohei Koikawa, Masashi Ueda and Takahiro Yokota
Nishimura & Asahi

MARKET OVERVIEW

Size of market

- 1 | What is the size of the market for initial public offerings (IPOs) in your jurisdiction?

There are two types of listing market in Japan. The first listing market is a normal one and includes the Main Market (First and Second Sections) of the Tokyo Stock Exchange, the JASDAQ market and the Mothers market. In 2018, 89 issuers were newly listed on the normal market. The second listing market is Tokyo Pro Market, which is operated by the Tokyo Stock Exchange. Only professional investors can invest in such Japanese stock exchanges. In 2018, eight issuers were newly listed on the Tokyo Pro Market.

Issuers

- 2 | Who are the issuers in the IPO market? Do domestic companies tend to list at home or overseas? Do overseas companies list in your market?

Most of the issuers in the Japanese IPO market are joint-stock corporations established under the laws of Japan. While large IPOs tend to include Rule 144A offerings in the United States and Regulation S offerings in other jurisdictions, Japanese domestic companies generally choose to list at home only, and not overseas. In some cases, Japanese listed companies complete their secondary listing on overseas markets such as the United States (NYSE and Nasdaq), Hong Kong and Singapore.

Overseas companies that conduct global IPOs typically choose a public offering or private placement in Japan and are not listed in Japan. At present, only five overseas companies are listed on the Tokyo Stock Exchange.

Primary exchanges

- 3 | What are the primary exchanges for IPOs? How do they differ?

In 2018, the majority of newly listed companies were listed on JASDAQ or Mothers, both of which are operated by the Tokyo Stock Exchange as a market for venture and emerging companies. JASDAQ has two types of market: Standard or Growth. The Standard market is for growing companies with a certain business scale and performance and the Growth market is for companies with unique technologies or business models and abundant future growth potential. Mothers is for emerging companies that aim towards the First Section in the future.

The First Section and Second Section of the Main Market of the Tokyo Stock Exchange are the central stock markets in Japan, especially for large and medium-sized companies; the two sections are distinguished by certain conditions such as the amount of market capitalisation.

REGULATION

Regulators

- 4 | Which bodies are responsible for rulemaking and enforcing the rules on IPOs?

The Financial Services Agency of Japan (FSA) and the stock exchanges are responsible for rulemaking. The FSA has the authority to establish its regulations and guidelines related to disclosure requirements under the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act (FIEA) of Japan (Act No. 25 of 1948 (the FIEA)). Each stock exchange publishes certain rules and guidelines including the listing requirements and listing process, in accordance with which such stock exchange carries out listing examinations.

If an issuer violates any of the disclosure requirements under the FIEA, the FSA, the local financial bureaus of the Ministry of Finance of Japan and the Securities and Exchange Surveillance Commission of Japan have the authority to enforce the FIEA and the regulations thereunder. If the rules of a stock exchange are violated, such stock exchange has the authority to enforce its rules.

Authorisation for listing

- 5 | Must issuers seek authorisation for a listing? What information must issuers provide to the listing authority and how is it assessed?

Issuers must be examined by the stock exchanges in order to obtain listing approval. Issuers must provide detailed information, such as an overview of the corporate group, overview of the business, organisational control system and distribution of shares to the stock exchanges. For example, the Tokyo Stock Exchange and Japan Exchange Regulation (to which the Tokyo Stock Exchange entrusts the listing examination) will measure the issuer's conformity with the listing criteria set out under the Securities Listing Regulations; furthermore, it will carry out listing examinations particularly focusing on facilitating fair price formation and smooth securities trading and whether the relevant matter at issue is necessary and appropriate in light of the public interest or the protection of investors. The disclosure document is subject to review by the local finance bureau via preliminary consultation before filing.

Prospectus

- 6 | What information must be made available to prospective investors and how must it be presented?

Upon an IPO, a securities registration statement must be filed and presented via the Electronic Disclosure for Investors' NETWORK system (EDINET).

The contents of a securities registration statement mainly comprises a securities information section, in which the offering

structure and the offered securities are described, and a corporate information section (including financial statements and audit reports). The form and substance of the securities registration statement are established by the Cabinet Office Ordinance on the Disclosure of Corporate Affairs, etc. of Companies (Ministry of Finance Ordinance No. 5 of 1973).

Domestic companies

In the case of a domestic corporation, a securities registration statement comprises four parts, as follows.

Part I: Information concerning the securities

The issuer must provide information concerning the terms and conditions of securities and the structure of the public offering, including an offering timetable, the names of underwriters and pricing information.

Part II: Information concerning the company

The issuer must provide information about itself including an outline of its business, selected financial data, risk factors, analysis of balance sheets, business results and cash flows, corporate governance, material contracts, material facilities, research and development activities, management and financial statements.

Part III: Special information

In a case where the issuer has issued the tracking stock the amount of dividends of which would be determined based on the amount of dividends of a certain subsidiary thereof, the issuer must provide the financial statements for the five fiscal years of such subsidiary.

Part IV: Information concerning the IPO

The issuer must disclose the past assignment or acquisition of the equity securities of the issuer by persons having a special interest in the issuer, an outline of past third-party allotment and the status of the shareholders.

A securities registration statement also must contain the audited consolidated and non-consolidated financial statements (including their notes) for the most recent two fiscal years, together with relevant audit reports (and their quarterly consolidated or non-consolidated financial statements and their notes, if applicable) in Part II.

Foreign companies

In the case of a foreign corporation, a securities registration statement comprises four parts, as follows.

Part I: Information concerning the securities

The issuer must provide information concerning the terms and conditions of securities and the structure of the public offering, including the offering timetable, the names of underwriters and pricing information.

Part II: Information concerning the company

The issuer must provide information about itself including an outline of the issuer's business, selected financial data, risk factors, analysis of balance sheets, business results and cash flows, corporate governance, material contracts, material facilities, research and development activities, and management and financial statements; this part also includes a summary of the corporate legal system of the home country of the issuer.

Part III: Information concerning the guarantor

The issuer must provide information similar to information to be included in Part II about the guarantor of the securities or any other equivalent entity (the guarantor) if the securities are guaranteed by another entity or there are any other entities that would be likely to materially affect the investment decision in relation to the securities.

Part IV: Special information

Unless the three-year audited financial statements are included in Part II and Part III, the recent five-year financial statements (including their footnotes) of the issuer and the guarantor (other than those contained in Part II and Part III) must generally be included in this section; this five-year financial statements' requirement is exempted for issuers and the guarantors who disclose the three-year audited financial statements in Part II and Part III.

With regard to the financial statements of the issuer (in the case of a foreign corporation) and the guarantor, if any, a securities registration statement must contain their audited consolidated financial statements (including their notes) for the two most recent fiscal years, together with the relevant audit reports, (and their semi-annual financial statements and their notes, if applicable) in Part II and Part III and their non-audited or audited consolidated financial statements for the three fiscal years before the said two years in Part IV. Alternatively, the issuer and the guarantor, if any, can include their audited consolidated financial statements for the three most recent fiscal years in Part II and Part III, as the case may be, where no additional financial statements need to be included in Part IV.

Publicity and marketing

7 What restrictions on publicity and marketing apply during the IPO process?

The FIEA prohibits an issuer from soliciting investors before filing a securities registration statement. This means that the publicity and contact with investors can be made only to the extent that such activities do not fall within 'solicitation'. The FSA's guidelines provide that any dissemination of information relating to an issuer of securities (excluding any information relating to a primary or secondary public offering of securities issued or to be issued by such issuer) made no later than one month before the filing date of the securities registration statement does not constitute 'solicitation', and pre-IPO roadshows are usually conducted on the basis of this safe-harbour rule.

After filing a securities registration statement, the issuer can solicit investors; however, in order to mitigate civil liabilities risk, it is normal practice that the information to be provided in the marketing process is limited to that included in the securities registration statement, the prospectus (the contents of which are generally identical to the securities registration statement) and the roadshow materials that are prepared, based on the information included in the securities registration statement.

Enforcement

8 What sanctions can public enforcers impose for breach of IPO rules? On whom?

If there is a breach of the disclosure requirements under the FIEA, an issuer and certain parties or individuals related thereto may be subject to administrative or criminal sanctions. Administrative sanctions include suspension of permissions resulting from registration of the securities registration statement and fines. It should also be noted that any false or misleading statements in the securities registration statement, the prospectus and any other offering materials may result in civil liabilities.

If the stock exchanges find any breach of the rules prescribed by them after the listing, they are entitled to take certain measures, such as:

- announcing the breach to the public;
- requesting payment of a penalty because of a breach of the listing agreement;
- requesting that an improvement report be submitted;
- designating the security as being on alert; and
- delisting the relevant security.

TIMETABLE AND COSTS

Timetable

- 9 | Describe the timetable of a typical IPO and stock exchange listing in your jurisdiction.

The listing examination of a stock exchange takes the following steps:

- preliminary application for listing;
- official application for listing;
- listing examination; and
- listing approval.

A listing on the First Section or the Second Section of the Tokyo Stock Exchange generally takes three months from the official application to listing approval (but a considerable amount of time is also required for the preliminary application process). The underwriters conduct their due diligence concurrently with the listing examination process.

A securities registration statement is prepared based on a listing application document called an *ichi-no-bu*, the contents of which are identical to the securities registration statement except that it does not include the securities information section. The draft securities registration statement is subject to the local finance bureau's review process, which usually commences approximately 30 to 45 days before the filing date.

Once an issuer obtains approval for listing, it launches the IPO by filing a securities registration statement. After the pre-marketing period, the price range is determined and the book-building process commences. The IPO price is determined in the light of investors' demands obtained through the book-building process. The closing of the IPO and listing occurs approximately one week after the pricing date. It typically takes one month from the launch of the IPO to the actual listing.

Costs

- 10 | What are the usual costs and fees for conducting an IPO?

The issuer must pay the listing examination fee and the initial listing fee to the relevant stock exchange. For example, in the case of a listing by a domestic company on the First Section of the Tokyo Stock Exchange, the listing examination fee (¥4 million) and the initial listing fee (¥15 million) is charged by the Tokyo Stock Exchange. In addition, fees will be incurred according to the number of shares offered by public offering and the number of shares offered by secondary offering. Also, even after listing, the issuer must pay listing maintenance costs, the amount of which varies according to its market capitalisation.

An issuer is also required to pay fees to its auditors, listing adviser and shareholder services agent. While counsel is not typically retained in the case of domestic IPOs, counsel fees should be paid in the case of global IPOs and foreign issuers' IPOs. Printing costs, including those related to the preparation of a securities registration statement and the printing of prospectuses, should be taken into account.

A foreign issuer must appoint an agent residing in Japan in connection with filing the disclosure documents under the FIEA. It is typical that the Japanese counsel to the issuer acts as this agent and, in such a case, fees related to this are usually included in the fees for the issuer's Japanese counsel.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Typical requirements

- 11 | What corporate governance requirements are typical or required of issuers conducting an IPO and obtaining a stock exchange listing in your jurisdiction?

The corporate governance structure is considered in the process of the listing examination. For example, the following matters are to be examined in a listing examination for a stock exchange:

- whether there is an organised and implemented structure to ensure that the management of the issuer group is executing its duties appropriately;
- whether the issuer group has established its internal control system necessary for conducting its business activities effectively; and
- whether the issuer group has established a suitable accounting system for the protection of investors.

In addition, under the listing rules of the Tokyo Stock Exchange, domestic issuers are required to have at least one independent officer. This independent officer is required to be an outside director or outside corporate auditor who is unlikely to have a conflict of interest with the shareholders of the relevant company. The listing rules also require domestic issuers to make efforts to have at least one director who meets the requirements for an independent officer (independent director). Further, the listing rules of the Tokyo Stock Exchange were amended in 2015 to make certain changes related to the corporate governance structure of listed companies. Following the effectiveness of the amendment, if a domestic issuer does not have two or more independent directors, it is required to explain publicly why it does not have two or more independent directors.

Stock exchanges require that issuers submit a corporate governance report, which will become publicly available together with the *ichi-no-bu*. The corporate governance report must cover, inter alia, basic policies regarding the corporate governance, capital structure, basic information of the issuer, corporate governance structure, actions taken against shareholders or other relevant parties, and information on the internal control system and anti-takeover devices.

New issuers

- 12 | Are there special allowances for certain types of new issuers?

Under the FIEA, a listed company is required to file an internal control report with the local finance bureau, evaluating the effectiveness of its internal controls and those of its group for each business year. In principle, an internal control report must receive audit certification by a certified public accountant or an auditing firm. In this connection, a newly listed company with capital of less than ¥10 billion or total debt of less than ¥100 billion is allowed to be exempt from the requirement to receive audit certification for three years after the listing.

Anti-takeover devices

- 13 | What types of anti-takeover devices are typically implemented by IPO issuers in your jurisdiction? Are there generally applicable rules relevant to takeovers that are relevant?

A typical anti-takeover device is a poison pill, which includes issuance of stock acquisition rights that can be exercised only by parties other than the hostile acquirer. When introducing and renewing anti-takeover devices, the Tokyo Stock Exchange considers whether companies consider the nature of the shareholders' rights and the exercise thereof

in the listing examination process. Also, the Tokyo Stock Exchange checks whether companies consider the sufficiency of disclosure, transparency, and the effect on the secondary market.

FOREIGN ISSUERS

Special requirements

- 14 | What are the main considerations for foreign issuers looking to list in your jurisdiction? Are there special requirements for foreign issuer IPOs?

Like domestic issuers, foreign issuers are generally required to prepare disclosure documents (including a securities registration statement) in Japanese. A foreign issuer who meets certain requirements will, however, be able to prepare disclosure documents in English provided that a summary of the disclosure document is prepared in Japanese.

Even in the case of foreign issuers, the FIEA and the regulations thereunder generally require that financial statements be contained in any disclosure documents, including a securities registration statement, and they should be prepared in accordance with the general accepted accounting principles of Japan or international financial reporting standards. In addition, a foreign issuer may, subject to regulatory approval, use its financial statements disclosed in its home country or any third country.

Selling foreign issues to domestic investors

- 15 | Where a foreign issuer is conducting an IPO outside your jurisdiction but not conducting a public offering within your jurisdiction, are there exemptions available to permit sales to investors within your jurisdiction?

A foreign issuer can rely on private placement exemptions. There are usually two types of private placement exemption (ie, private placement to a small number of investors and private placement to qualified institutional investors) available for a foreign issuer. In the case of private placement to a small number of investors, a foreign issuer may solicit up to 49 investors. In the case of private placement to qualified institutional investors, solicitation must be made to qualified institutional investors only, and investors are subject to the selling restriction that they may only sell shares to qualified institutional investors. A foreign issuer should note that it will be required to appoint its agent resident in Japan when it relies on the exemption of private placement to qualified institutional investors, so it is more usual that foreign issuers rely on the exemption of private placement to a small number of investors.

TAX

Tax issues

- 16 | Are there any unique tax issues that are relevant to IPOs in your jurisdiction?

No.

INVESTOR CLAIMS

Fora

- 17 | In which fora can IPO investors seek redress? Is non-judicial resolution of complaints a possibility?

An investor can seek redress by filing a suit against an issuer, an underwriter or another party with a court of competent jurisdiction in Japan. Because there are no sufficient precedents, it is not clear whether non-judicial resolution would be feasible.

NISHIMURA & ASAHI

Kohei Koikawa

k_koikawa@jurists.co.jp

Masashi Ueda

m_ueda@jurists.co.jp

Takahiro Yokota

t_yokota@jurists.co.jp

Otemon Tower, 1-1-2 Otemachi
Chiyoda-ku
Tokyo 100-8124
Japan
Tel: +81 3 6250 6200
Fax: +81 3 6250 7200
www.jurists.co.jp/en

Class actions

- 18 | Are class actions possible in IPO-related claims?

The Act on Special Provisions of Civil Court Procedures for Collective Recovery of Property Damage of Consumers of Japan (Act No. 96 of 2013), which became effective as of 1 October 2016, has introduced a class action system to Japan. While this act does not cover claims of investors under the FIEA, investors will be entitled to initiate class actions as long as they have a tort claim under the Civil Code of Japan (Act No. 89 of 1896).

Claims, defendants and remedies

- 19 | What are the causes of action? Whom can investors sue? And what remedies may investors seek?

If a disclosure document contains any untrue statement of material fact, or omits to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements therein, in the light of the circumstances in which they were made, not misleading and an investor incurs loss thereby, such investor may have a claim against the issuer, underwriter or other parties (such as auditors) under the FIEA and the Civil Code. Claims under the FIEA are more beneficial for investors because it is subject to a reversed burden of proof, and presumption of an amount of damages. An investor's remedy is limited to monetary compensation for the loss it has incurred.

UPDATE AND TRENDS

Recent developments

- 20 | Are there any other current developments or emerging trends that should be noted?

The Tokyo Stock Exchange is considering changing the listing requirements for the First Section of its main market in order to reduce the number of companies listed on the First Section and to integrate the Second Section of its main market and most of the JASDAQ market to create a new market for medium-sized companies, and integrate the Mothers market and the rest of the JASDAQ market to create a new market for emerging companies.

Other titles available in this series

Acquisition Finance	Distribution & Agency	Islamic Finance & Markets	Real Estate M&A
Advertising & Marketing	Domains & Domain Names	Joint Ventures	Renewable Energy
Agribusiness	Dominance	Labour & Employment	Restructuring & Insolvency
Air Transport	e-Commerce	Legal Privilege & Professional Secrecy	Right of Publicity
Anti-Corruption Regulation	Electricity Regulation	Licensing	Risk & Compliance Management
Anti-Money Laundering	Energy Disputes	Life Sciences	Securities Finance
Appeals	Enforcement of Foreign Judgments	Litigation Funding	Securities Litigation
Arbitration	Environment & Climate Regulation	Loans & Secured Financing	Shareholder Activism & Engagement
Art Law	Equity Derivatives	M&A Litigation	Ship Finance
Asset Recovery	Executive Compensation & Employee Benefits	Mediation	Shipbuilding
Automotive	Financial Services Compliance	Merger Control	Shipping
Aviation Finance & Leasing	Financial Services Litigation	Mining	Sovereign Immunity
Aviation Liability	Fintech	Oil Regulation	Sports Law
Banking Regulation	Foreign Investment Review	Patents	State Aid
Cartel Regulation	Franchise	Pensions & Retirement Plans	Structured Finance & Securitisation
Class Actions	Fund Management	Pharmaceutical Antitrust	Tax Controversy
Cloud Computing	Gaming	Ports & Terminals	Tax on Inbound Investment
Commercial Contracts	Gas Regulation	Private Antitrust Litigation	Technology M&A
Competition Compliance	Government Investigations	Private Banking & Wealth Management	Telecoms & Media
Complex Commercial Litigation	Government Relations	Private Client	Trade & Customs
Construction	Healthcare Enforcement & Litigation	Private Equity	Trademarks
Copyright	High-Yield Debt	Private M&A	Transfer Pricing
Corporate Governance	Initial Public Offerings	Product Liability	Vertical Agreements
Corporate Immigration	Insurance & Reinsurance	Product Recall	
Corporate Reorganisations	Insurance Litigation	Project Finance	
Cybersecurity	Intellectual Property & Antitrust	Public M&A	
Data Protection & Privacy	Investment Treaty Arbitration	Public Procurement	
Debt Capital Markets		Public-Private Partnerships	
Defence & Security		Rail Transport	
Procurement		Real Estate	
Dispute Resolution			

Also available digitally

[lexology.com/gtdt](https://www.lexology.com/gtdt)