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We are very pleased to present the fourth edition of *The Public-Private Partnership Law Review*. Notwithstanding the number of chapters in various publications in *The Law Reviews* series on topics involving public-private partnerships (PPPs) and private finance initiatives (in areas such as projects and construction, real estate, mergers, transfers of concessionaires’ corporate control, special purpose vehicles and government procurement), we identified the need for a deeper understanding of the specific issues related to this topic in different countries.

In 2014, Brazil marked the 10th year of the publication of its first Public-Private Partnership Law (Federal Law No. 11,079/2004). Our experience with this law is still developing, especially in comparison with other countries where discussions on PPP models and the need to attract private investment in large projects dates from the 1980s and 1990s.

This is the case for countries such as the United Kingdom and the United States. PPPs have been used in the United States across a wide range of sectors in various forms for more than 30 years. From 1986 to 2012, approximately 700 PPP projects reached financial closure. The UK is widely known as one of the pioneers of the PPP model; Margaret Thatcher’s governments in the 1980s embarked on an extensive privatisation programme of publicly owned utilities, including telecoms, gas, electricity, water and waste, airports, and railways. The Private Finance Initiative was launched in the United Kingdom in 1992, aiming to boost design-build-finance-operate projects.

In certain developing countries, PPP laws are more recent than the Brazilian PPP law. Argentina was the first country in Latin America to enact a PPP Law (Decree No. 1,299/2000, ratified by Law No. 25,414/2000). The Argentinian PPP Law was designed to promote private investment in public infrastructure projects that could not be afforded exclusively by the state, especially in the areas of health, education, justice, transportation, construction of airport facilities, highways and investments in local security. In Mozambique, Law No. 15/2011 and Decree No. 16/2012 govern the Public-Private Partnerships Law and other related PPP regulations, which establish procedures for contracting, implementing and monitoring PPP projects. In Paraguay, a regulation establishing the PPP regime has been enacted (Law No. 5,102) to promote public infrastructure and the expansion and improvement of services provided by the state; this law has been in force since late 2013.

In view of the foregoing, we hope a comparative study covering practical aspects and different perspectives regarding PPP issues will become an important tool for the strengthening of this model worldwide. We are certain this study will bring about a better dissemination of best practices implemented by private professionals and government authorities working on PPP projects around the world.

With respect to Brazil, the experience evidenced abroad may lead to the strengthening of this model in our country. In our last preface, we called your attention to one specific
feature of the PPP law in Brazil: state guarantees. This feature permits that the obligation of the public party to pay a concessionaire be guaranteed by, among other mechanisms authorised by law: (1) a pledge of revenues; (2) creation or use of special funds; (3) purchase of a guarantee from insurance companies that are not under public control; (4) guarantees by international organisations or financial institutions not controlled by any government authority; or (5) guarantees by guarantor funds or state-owned companies created especially for that purpose.

The state guarantee pursuant to PPP agreements is an important innovation in administrative agreements in Brazil; it assures payment obligations by the public partner and serves as a guarantee in the event of lawsuits and claims against the government. This tool is one of the main factors distinguishing the legal regimen of PPP agreements from ordinary administrative agreements or concessions – one that is viewed as crucial for the success of PPPs, especially from a private investor’s standpoint.

Nevertheless, the difficulty in implementing state guarantees on PPP projects has been one of the main issues in the execution of new PPP projects in the country. This is made worse by the history of government default in administrative contracts.

In other jurisdictions, however, state guarantees are not a rule. Unlike PPP projects in developing countries, government solvency has not historically been a serious consideration in other jurisdictions. That is the case in countries such as Australia, France, Ireland, Japan, the United Kingdom and the United States.

We expect that the consolidation of PPPs and the strengthening of the government in Brazil may lead to a similar model, enabling private investments in areas where the country lacks the most.

Brazil must adopt cutting-edge models for awarding PPP agreements. The winner is usually chosen based solely on the price criterion (offering of lower prices or highest offers), which sometimes leads to projects lacking advanced or tailor-made solutions. Despite the legal provisions on the role of technical evaluation of offers, they are becoming less relevant. However, some ongoing discussions regarding amendments to the Brazilian procurement legislation and new criteria, which are based on the international experience, could (fortunately) be approved.

In last year’s edition, we highlighted some discussions regarding the amendment to the Federal Procurement Law (Federal Law No. 8,666/1993), which is expected to expedite public procurement in Brazil. One of the main innovations proposed in this debate is the competitive dialogue, a type of bid in which the authority engages with bidders to discuss and develop one or more solutions for the tendered project. After the conclusion of the dialogue phase, the authority will establish a term for the submission of bids.

The competitive dialogue is a reality in many jurisdictions (e.g., Australia, Belgium, China, France, Ireland, Japan and the United Kingdom). In Japan, for example, some projects are procured through the competitive dialogue process. This process may be adopted if a relevant authority is unable to prepare a proper service requirement, in which case it proposes a dialogue with multiple bidders simultaneously to learn more about the specific service it seeks to implement. As another example, in France a dialogue will be conducted with each bidder to define solutions on the basis of the functional programme. At the end of the dialogue period, the procuring authority will invite the candidates to submit a tender based on the considered solutions. After analysis of the tenders, a partnership contract will be awarded to the bidder with the best price in accordance with the criteria established in the
contract notice or in the tender procedure. We hope the importance of this tool is recognised in Brazil and reflected in our legislation.

In this edition, we wish to call your attention to the creation of the Investment Partnerships Program, as established in Federal Law No. 13,334/2016. The Investment Partnerships Program is a legal plan regarding infrastructure development in the country, providing conditions for the attraction of investments in infrastructure projects and creating environments for greater integration between public and private sectors. According to information recently released by the federal government, PPI figures are impressive, particularly concerning the total value of projects that have been concluded: 142 billion reais. The expectation is that investments of this size will bring more employment and income in the coming years, ensuring the continuation of Brazil’s development.¹

In the fourth edition of this book, our contributors were drawn from the most renowned firms working in the PPP field in their jurisdictions. We would like to thank all of them for their support in producing The Public-Private Partnership Law Review, and in helping with the collective construction of a broad study on the main aspects of PPP projects.

We strongly believe that PPPs are an important tool for generating investments (and development) in infrastructure projects and creating efficiency not only in infrastructure, but also in the provision of public services, such as education and health, as well as public lighting services and prisons. PPPs are also an important means of combating corruption, which is common in the old and inefficient model of direct state procurement of projects.

We hope you enjoy this fourth edition of The Public-Private Partnership Law Review and we sincerely hope that this book will consolidate a comprehensive international guide to the anatomy of PPPs.

We also look forward to hearing your thoughts on this edition, and particularly your comments and suggestions for improving future editions of this work.

Bruno Werneck and Mário Saadi
Mattos Filho, Veiga Filho, Marrey Jr e Quiroga Advogados
São Paulo
March 2018

Chapter 25

VIETNAM

Kazuhide Ohya, Vu Le Bang and Nguyen Van Trang

I OVERVIEW

According to World Bank’s database, 87 PPP projects are said to have reached financial closure in Vietnam during 1990–2016. However, no official statistics on the number of PPP projects have been published in Vietnam and the PPP projects mentioned in the World Bank’s database also seem to be only focused on major projects and many small projects and provincial level projects are missing from the database, especially in the areas of electricity, roads, and water and sewerage.

In 1986, the Đổi Mới policy adopted at the 6th National Congress of the Communist Party of Vietnam introduced market economy in Vietnam and opened the market to foreign investors. Since then, Vietnam has faced a gap between the huge demand for infrastructure development with a rapidly growing population and economy and a scarce governmental budget, and, therefore, expectation to the private funds for the infrastructure development has existed. The history of PPP legislation in Vietnam started in 1992, when the amended Foreign Investment Law mentioned build–operate–transfer (BOT) for the first time. In 1994, it was reported that the first BOT contract was entered into for the water treatment plant project of Binh An Water Corporation Limited. In this phase, PPP legislation was limited mainly for foreign investment and several PPP projects with foreign investors emerged.

Around the time when the Asian currency crisis started in 1997, Decree 77-CP dated 18 June 1997 also provided BOT regulations for full participation of domestic investors for the first time. At this stage, PPP projects of domestic investors were mainly established by the state-owned enterprises (SOEs) with the aim to implement such projects without state budget allocation, but those aims were not always successful.

With Vietnam’s participation in the World Trade Organization, distinctions between the foreign investors and domestic investors were abolished in principle, and BOT

---

1 Kazuhide Ohya and Vu Le Bang are partners, and Nguyen Van Trang is a senior associate at Nishimura & Asahi.
4 For example, Huynh Tan Phat Road Project originally developed by Vietnam Urban and Industrial Zone Development Investment Corporation and Ong Thin Bridge Project originally planned to be developed by Civil Engineering and Construction Company 5 as BOT projects did not become profitable and were eventually transferred to public sectors.
II THE YEAR IN REVIEW

In 2017, the Standing Committee of the National Assembly issued Resolution No. 437/NQ-UBTVQH14, in which the government and the Prime Minister are requested to establish a PPP law. The law is expected to set the criteria on selection of PPP projects, evaluation of financial capacity of investors, and the equity ratio applied to each group of projects. The draft outline of the PPP Law has been circulated for comments by ministries and provincial people’s committees, and published on the website of the Ministry of Planning and Investment (MPI) in June 2017. At the same time, the draft decree to replace Decree 15/2015/ND-CP was also been published on the website of the MPI in November 2017. The draft decree has been prepared under the instruction of the Deputy Prime Minister, which required the MPI, the Ministry of Finance (MOF) and the State Bank of Vietnam (SBV) to measure necessary credit facilities for PPP projects in the coming years and to propose capital arrangement solutions. These ministries were also requested to study a guarantee mechanism for pilot application to a number of key transport PPP projects and a mechanism for use of state capital in PPP projects. In fact, the Vietnamese government is calling for PPP investments in many projects and it is no surprise for the Vietnamese government to make efforts to improve their PPP framework to attract more foreign investors.

On the other hand, controlling the increasing public debt is also an inevitable task for the Vietnamese government. We see the tendency of conditions for government guarantee and undertakings (GGU) getting stricter in Decree No. 04/2017/ND-CP on the issuance and management of government guarantee, Law No. 83/2015/QH13 on State Budget, Law No. 20/2017/QH14 on Public Debt Management (which shall be effective from 1 July 2018) and the draft decree on the issuance and management of government guarantees published on the official website of the MOF in December 2017. The unavailability of the GGU was one of the major blockages for foreign investors to establish international standard PPP projects in Vietnam with appropriate risk allocation between the public and private sectors,

---

7 See Decision No. 631/QD-TTg dated 29 April 2014 of the Prime Minister promulgating the list of national projects in which foreign investments are called for by 2020 (including by PPP). The following links are for the projects calling for PPP investment: http://ppp.mt.gov.vn/pppunit/Projects; http://ppp.mpi.gov.vn/Pages/project.aspx.
8 Official website of the Ministry of Finance, available at http://www.mof.gov.vn/webcenter/portal/btc/r/cd/drvb/cdvb?centerWidth=0%25&leftWidth=0%25&rightWidth=0%25&showFooter=false&showHeader=false&ctrl-state=gnipb8jf_4&_afrLoop=264435179690169074%40%40%3F_afrLoop$=264435179690169074%26centerWidth$=0%2526id$=3D14045%26leftWidth$=0%2526rightWidth$=0%25&showFooter=false&showHeader=false&ctrl-state%3Dxycsmhc90_4 (accessed on 22 January 2018).
and such tendency of imposing stricter conditions may further frustrate foreign investors. Actually, except for projects for thermal power plants using imported coals,9 it is not easy for foreign investors to be granted GGU for their PPP projects in Vietnam.10

As a result, the following two types of domestic investors may have a higher possibility of implementing PPP projects in Vietnam: (1) strong domestic investors such as major SOEs that have enough influence to procure GGU; and (2) domestic investors who can implement PPP projects without government guarantee by utilising domestic loans from state-invested banks, such as the Joint Stock Commercial Bank for Investment and Development of Vietnam (BIDV), the Joint Stock Commercial Bank for Foreign Trade of Vietnam (Vietcombank) and the Joint Stock Commercial Bank for Industry and Trade (VietinBank). The latter pattern is predominant in the area of road infrastructure projects. It is said that the state-invested banks mentioned above are taking huge commercial risks in such area (including step-in rights) and are currently bridging the gap between the huge demand for infrastructure development and a scarce state budget.

Another trending topic in the infrastructure development area in Vietnam is the boom in the renewable energy sector. With the Vietnamese government’s strong supports for promoting environmentally friendly energy sources, including raising feed-in tariff for solar and wind power projects and efforts to improve the regulatory framework for investments in such projects,11 an increasing number of investors are lured into such projects.12

III GENERAL FRAMEWORK

i Types of public-private partnership

Under the current PPP regulations, the following seven principal public-private partnership structures are provided:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure type</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BOT</td>
<td>Structure for the construction of infrastructure facility upon the completion of which the investor has the right to commercially operate such facility for a fixed term, and upon the expiry of such term, the investor transfers the facility to the state.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Build–transfer–operate (BTO)</td>
<td>Structure for the construction of an infrastructure facility upon the completion of which the investor transfers such facility to the state and has the right to commercially operate the facility for a fixed term.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Build–transfer (BT)</td>
<td>Structure for the construction of an infrastructure facility whereby the investor transfers such facility to the state and is rewarded by way of land use rights in order to implement other projects to recover capital invested in such facility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Build–own–operate (BOO)</td>
<td>Structure for the construction of an infrastructure facility upon the completion of which the investor owns and has the right to commercially operate the facility for a fixed term.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9 Projects for thermal power plants enjoy certain preferential treatments, including GGU, under Decision 2414/QD-TTg dated 11 December 2013. In these projects, participation of foreign investors who are capable of implementing such projects is necessary because of the lack of capacity and experience of domestic investors for such projects.

10 Decision 544/QD-TTg dated 20 April 2017 sets a target of reducing the issuance of GGU.

11 Notable regulations are Decision 11/2017/QD-TTg, which raised feed-in-tariff for on-grid solar power plants, Circular 16/2017/TT-BCT on project development and model purchase agreements for solar power projects, and a draft Decision proposing the raise of feed-in-tariff for wind power projects by amending Decision 37/2011/QD-TTg on the support mechanisms for development of wind power projects in Vietnam.

12 However, not all of these projects are implemented under PPP regulations.
**Vietnam**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure type</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Build–transfer–lease (BTL)      | Structure for the construction of an infrastructure facility upon the completion of which the investor transfers such facility to the state and has the right to provide services on the basis of operating and exploiting the facility for a fixed term; and the state has the authority to hire such services and shall make payment to the investor periodically on the basis of the volume and quality of services.  
   
   Notes:  
   1. Article 3.3 of Decree 15/2015/ND-CP.  
   2. Article 3.4 of Decree 15/2015/ND-CP.  
   3. Articles 3.5, 3.10, 14.3 and 43.3 of Decree 15/2015/ND-CP.  
   4. Article 3.6 of Decree 15/2015/ND-CP.  
   5. Articles 3.7 and 14.2 of Decree 15/2015/ND-CP.  
   6. Articles 3.8 and 14.2 of Decree 15/2015/ND-CP.  
   7. Article 3.9 of Decree 15/2015/ND-CP.  

| Build–lease–transfer (BLT)      | Structure for the construction of an infrastructure facility upon the completion of which the investor has the right to provide services on the basis of operating and exploiting such facility for a fixed term; the state has the right to hire such services and shall make payment to the investor periodically on the basis of the volume and quality of services; and upon the expiry of the term for provision of such services, the investor transfers the facility to the authorised state agency.  
   
   Notes:  
   1. Article 3.3 of Decree 15/2015/ND-CP.  
   2. Article 3.4 of Decree 15/2015/ND-CP.  
   3. Articles 3.5, 3.10, 14.3 and 43.3 of Decree 15/2015/ND-CP.  
   4. Article 3.6 of Decree 15/2015/ND-CP.  
   5. Articles 3.7 and 14.2 of Decree 15/2015/ND-CP.  
   6. Articles 3.8 and 14.2 of Decree 15/2015/ND-CP.  
   7. Article 3.9 of Decree 15/2015/ND-CP.  

| Operate–manage (O&M)            | Structure for an investor to commercially operate a facility partly or entirely for a fixed term.  
   
   Notes:  
   1. Article 3.3 of Decree 15/2015/ND-CP.  
   2. Article 3.4 of Decree 15/2015/ND-CP.  
   3. Articles 3.5, 3.10, 14.3 and 43.3 of Decree 15/2015/ND-CP.  
   4. Article 3.6 of Decree 15/2015/ND-CP.  
   5. Articles 3.7 and 14.2 of Decree 15/2015/ND-CP.  
   6. Articles 3.8 and 14.2 of Decree 15/2015/ND-CP.  
   7. Article 3.9 of Decree 15/2015/ND-CP.  

BOT, BTO and BT are traditional structures in Vietnam. The difference between BOT and BTO is the timing of transfer of the project assets to the state. Once the project assets are transferred to the state, they are classified as ‘public assets’, which are subject to stricter control or management of the state. In that sense, BOT investors, under which the transfer will be made after the operation, may enjoy relatively more flexible control or management over the project assets than BTO investors. BT is recognised as a PPP structure in Vietnam, though no operational or management risk is passed to the private sector.

BOO, BTL, BLT and O&M structures were newly introduced by Decree 15/2015/ND-CP. BOO was implemented in practice even before being introduced by Decree 15/2015/ND-CP. BTL and BLT are new concepts introduced by Decree 15/2015/ND-CP. The elements that differentiate BTL and BLT from BOT or BTO structures are the payment method to the service of the investors and the payer to the service of investors (i.e., payment by the state on the basis of volume and quality of service including availability payment, not fees from end users or off-takers).  

**ii The authorities**

In Vietnam, there is no unified service window for PPPs. A certain Ministry, branch or provincial people’s committee is authorised to enter into project contracts within the scope of its functions, duties and powers, and to perform rights and obligations as agreed with investors in project contracts, with certain power to delegate such authority. Investors are recommended to consult with experts such as lawyers in the early stage of project preparation for PPPs to identify which state agency will be the key counterpart for discussion and negotiation for the project to facilitate the project establishment. For example, depending on

---


14. However, that various payment arrangements were made under BOT/BTO projects in the past.
the sectors of PPP projects at the central government level, the following state agencies would be the competent contracting or regulatory agencies:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sectors</th>
<th>Competent contracting/regulatory state agency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roads, railways, ports, airports</td>
<td>Ministry of Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water, wastewater</td>
<td>Various governmental agencies, including the Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>Ministry of Industry and Trade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vietnam Electricity Corporation (EVN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information communications technology</td>
<td>Ministry of Information and Communications</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The State Steering Committee for PPP is an inter-Ministry specialised governmental entity that facilitates the PPP programme in Vietnam (the Committee). The Committee was established by Decision 1624/QD-TTg in 2012 and strengthened its function by Decision 2048/QD-TTg in 2016, which replaced Decision 1624/QD-TTg. The Vice Prime Minister is the chair of the Committee. The MPI is also the central Ministry among the governmental Ministries to promote PPP regime in Vietnam. The MOF, Ministry of Justice and SBV also play important roles in the formation of PPP projects.

### iii General requirements for PPP contracts

A project must satisfy all the following conditions to be eligible for selection for development in the PPP investment form:

- **a** conformity with the master plan, development plans for the sectors and regions and the local socio-economic developmental plan;
- **b** being in one of the following investment sectors:
  - transportation infrastructure facilities and related services;
  - lighting systems, clean water supply systems, water drainage systems, waste water and waste collection and treatment systems, social housing, resettlement housing or cemeteries;
  - power plants or power transmission lines;
  - infrastructure facilities for healthcare, education, training, vocational training, culture, sport and related services, or headquarters of state agencies;
  - infrastructure facilities for trade, science and technology, hydrometeorology, economic zones, industrial zones, high-tech zones and concentrated information technology zones, or information technology applications;
  - agricultural and rural infrastructure facilities and development services for connecting production with processing and sale of agricultural products; and
  - other sectors as decided by the Prime Minister;
- **c** being capable of attracting and accessing commercial capital sources, technology and managerial experience of investors;
- **d** being capable of continuous and stable production of products and services that satisfy quality standards and user demand;
- **e** having total investment capital of 20 billion dong or more, except for: (1) projects implemented in the O&M contract form; and (2) projects for agricultural and rural infrastructure facilities and development services for connecting production with processing and sale of agricultural products; and
A project proposal by the competent state authority;
(b) evaluation, approval and announcement of the project;
(c) preparation, evaluation and approval of the feasibility study report;
(d) prequalification of the investor and payment of guarantee for the bidding;
(e) open bidding or direct appointment of the investor;
(f) negotiation and signing of investment (preliminary) agreement and payment of guarantee for contract performance;
(g) issuance of the investment registration certificate;
(h) signing of the project contract;
i) establishment of the project company; and
j) implementation of the project.

The current PPP regulations clarified procedures (a) and (b), which were not clearly provided under the old regulations. Within seven business days after approval of a project proposal, the announcement of the project will be made on the national bidding network system in accordance with the Law on Bidding.\textsuperscript{17}

The authorities to 'evaluate' feasibility study reports are the State Evaluation Committee for nationally important projects and PPP Co-ordinating units of Ministers, ministerial equivalent agencies and provincial people’s committees for Group A and B projects (not nationally important projects but relatively large-sized projects).\textsuperscript{18} The authorities to 'approve' feasibility study reports are: (1) the Prime Minister for nationally important projects; and (2) ministers, heads of ministerial equivalent agencies and chairmen of provincial people’s committees for Group A and B projects, except for projects using official development assistance (ODA) and concessional loans from foreign donors in the national defence and security sector, or in the religious sector.\textsuperscript{19}

IV BIDDING AND AWARD PROCEDURE

i) Expressions of interest

Based on the approved feasibility study report for a PPP project, pre-qualification shall be conducted prior to preparing the plan for selection of investors in order to identify investors with sufficient capacity and experience to satisfy the project requirements and to invite them...
to participate in open bidding. Within three business days from the publication of the notice of invitation of pre-qualification applications on the national bidding network system or in the bidding newspaper, pre-qualification invitation documents must be issued. The application period is at least 30 days from the pre-qualification invitation. If investors wish to clarify pre-qualification invitation documents, they can send a written request to the inviting party until five business days prior to the bid closing date. Pre-qualification is conducted in accordance with the assessment criteria set out in the pre-qualification invitation documents. Investors will be required to prove financial-commercial capacity and ability to raise funds, implement the project and experience in similar projects, declare the preliminary method for implementing the project and commit to implementing it, and declare any dispute or litigation arising out of current or earlier contracts.

ii Requests for proposals and unsolicited proposals

Selection of investors is basically made through international open bidding. Domestic open bidding is only allowed: (1) where participation of foreign investors is restricted for the investment sectors by international treaties or laws of Vietnam; (2) where foreign investors did not participate in or pass international pre-qualification; (3) in Group C PPP projects; or (4) in certain small-scale investment projects using land. Invitation of bidding will be sent to the investors who passed the pre-qualification. Bidding procedures for Group C PPP projects and projects using land are provided separately from bidding procedures for other PPP projects. By the bidding application closing date, bidders need to provide bidding deposits, the amount of which is between 0.5 per cent and 1.5 per cent of the proposed total invested capital.

Direct appointment of the investor (without bidding procedure) is allowed only in quite limited circumstances, that is, if: (1) only one investor registers and satisfies the requirements set out in the pre-qualification invitation documents or only one investor passes the pre-qualification; (2) only one investor has the capacity to implement the project because of intellectual property, commercial secrets, technology or arranging capital funding; or (3) an investor proposing a PPP project (i.e., an investor of an unsolicited proposal project, which is further discussed below) satisfies the requirements for project implementation with the highest feasibility and efficiency, considering that: (1) the investor has a feasibility study report that has been approved already; (2) the service prices proposed by the investor, amounts of economic assistance by the government or social benefits are reasonable; and (3) there is a necessity for national security.

Unsolicited proposals of PPP projects from investors are possible in Vietnam. If the investor is a state-owned enterprise, it must form a consortium with another enterprise to

---

20 Article 16 of Decree 30/2015/ND-CP. Certain small projects may be exempted from this process.
21 Article 6.3 of Decree 30/2015/ND-CP.
22 Article 6.4 of Decree 30/2015/ND-CP.
23 Article 18.2(c) of Decree 30/2015/ND-CP.
24 Articles 17.1(d) and 20.1 of Decree 30/2015/ND-CP.
25 Articles 17.1(c) and 20.1 of Decree 30/2015/ND-CP.
26 Article 9 of Decree 30/2015/ND-CP.
27 Articles 11.2 and 11.3(b) of the Law on Bidding.
28 Article 22.4 of the Law on Bidding and Article 9.3 (c) of Decree 30/2015/ND-CP. Another possible situation for direct appointment is a special case pursuant to a decision of the Prime Minister (Article 26 of the Law on Bidding).
propose the project. Under unsolicited projects, investors need to prepare the project proposal and feasibility study report mentioned in the overall outline of the procedure for solicited PPP projects in Section III.iii, above (bullet points (a) and (c)), by their own expenses. If the feasibility study report is approved, such investor is entitled to preferential treatment (5 per cent) in the financial-commercial assessment process of the bidding.

iii Evaluation and grant
The evaluation process consists of assessment of technical proposals and assessment of commercial-financial proposals. Through these assessments, investors who satisfied the requirements will be ranked in the list. The investor ranked first will be invited to negotiate an investment (preliminary) agreement, which is not a PPP contract itself, but is rather a preliminary agreement on the draft PPP contract, and rights and obligations of the parties in relation to the processes for issuance of investment registration certificate and establishing a project company, and others. If preliminary negotiations are unsuccessful, the next ranking bidder will be invited to negotiate the same.

Once the investment (preliminary) agreement is concluded, the investor will proceed to the process of investment registration certificate. The investment registration certificate will be issued within 25 days since the date of submission of a valid and complete application.

After the investment registration certificate is issued, the investor will move to the next steps of signing the project contract, payment of guarantee for contract performance and establishment of the project company. Guarantee for contract performance must be provided prior to the date on which the PPP contract takes effect. An amount of a contract performance guarantee is stipulated in the bid invitation documents at a level between 1 per cent and 3 per cent of the total investment capital of the project.

Procedures for Group C PPP projects and projects using land are provided separately from procedures for other PPP projects.

V THE CONTRACT
i Payment
Payments by the state to the investors in PPP projects are one of the inevitable factors for such PPP projects to be viable. Under the old PPP regime, Decree 108/2009/ND-CP and Prime Minister Decision 71/2010/QD-TTg respectively provided the limits for available state investment capital of 49 per cent and 30 per cent of the total investment capital of a project. These limits were abolished under the current PPP regulations.
Disbursement of the state investment capital is limited to the following cases ((a) and (b) are only available for solicited projects or projects within the category eligible to use ODAs or concessional loan capital of foreign donors):

- **a** viability gap funding;
- **b** payment for BTL/BLT projects; or
- **c** supporting construction of auxiliary works, and arranging compensation, site clearance and resettlement. 37

State investment capital to be used to support construction of project facilities via viability gap funding will be disbursed after certain volume or value of construction as agreed in the project contract has been completed in accordance with the ratio, value and progress, and other conditions agreed in the project contract. 38

Under BTL and BLT contracts, the state will make payments to investors periodically as from the time of service provision as agreed in the project contract and on the basis of volume and quality of service (key performance indicators) as agreed in the project contract including availability payment. 39 Availability payments or performance-based payments have been used in utilities PPP projects (power generation and water supply projects) in Vietnam, and recently, a newly introduced BTL/BLT contract form was actually used. 40

A BT contract is a unique type of PPP contract under which the investor will be rewarded by way of land use rights (not payment by cash) in order to implement other projects to recover capital invested in the facility.

**ii State guarantees**

**Government guarantees on loans**

The guideline for government guarantees on loans is available under Law No. 83/2015/QH13 on State Budget, Law No. 29/2009/QH12 on Public Debt Management and Decree No. 04/2017/ND-CP on the issuance and management of government guarantee. In order for debts of investors or project companies to be guaranteed by the government, they need to obtain the in-principle approval from the government or the Prime Minister. 41 According to Official Letter No. 17164/BTC-QLN, 88 government guarantees were issued to foreign loans with total amount of US$9,737 billion as of the end of August 2011. Among them, eight debtors, whose guaranteed amount constituted 9.2 per cent of the total guaranteed amount, went into default. All those debts were repaid from the Accumulated Fund for Loan Repayment and only half of defaulting debtors could fully return the defaulted amount to the fund. As mentioned above, Law No. 20/2017/QH14 on Public Debt Management to be effective on July 2018 and the draft decree are supposed to impose stricter conditions and may favour the interest of certain domestic investors of PPP projects as mentioned above.

---

37 Article 11 of Decree 15/2015/ND-CP.
38 Article 14.1 of Decree 15/2015/ND-CP.
39 Article 14.2 of Decree 15/2015/ND-CP.
41 Article 12 of Decree 04/2017/ND-CP.
Government guarantees on other obligations

Decree 15/2015/ND-CP also provides government guarantees on provision of raw materials, on consumption of products and services, and on other contractual obligations to the investor, project company or other enterprises participating in project implementation, and a guarantee for performance by state-owned enterprises of the obligation to sell fuel and raw materials to, and to purchase products and services from, the investor and project company.\(^\text{42}\)

For example, government guarantees were provided for the contractual obligations of EVN (off-taker) in power plant projects and of the providers of water pipes in water plant projects. For these types of guarantees, the Prime Minister’s decision to appoint the guarantor is also necessary.\(^\text{43}\) However, it has not seemed to be easy to obtain these guarantees recently. In addition, if the local level people’s committee is a provider of the guarantee and does not have enough budget to implement such guarantee obligations, investors need to request necessary arrangements to secure the required budget for the local authorities to implement such guarantee obligation.

Guarantee on foreign currency balancing (availability)

The Vietnamese dong is not traded in the international market and no commercial bank will be able to sell United States dollars, even in Vietnam, if the bank’s rate is outside the range of rate decided by the State Bank of Vietnam because of Vietnamese foreign exchange regulations.\(^\text{44}\)

As a result, there is a risk that conversion from Vietnamese dong to hard currencies becomes impossible, and this risk became a reality in 2008. Government guarantee on the foreign currency balancing (availability) is a critical issue for foreign-invested PPP projects under which the income of the projects are denominated in Vietnamese dong, such as power plant projects, water plant projects and toll fee road projects.

Article 60 of Decree 15/2015/ND-CP provides guidelines for such government guarantees on foreign currency balancing. Investors and project companies of the following project may be considered to be granted government guarantee on foreign currency balancing (availability):

\begin{itemize}
\item[a] projects that fall under the authority of the National Assembly to approve the investment policies;
\item[b] projects for construction of infrastructure within investment programmes of the government; and
\item[c] other important projects pursuant to decisions of the Prime Minister.
\end{itemize}

The Vietnamese government does not have enough foreign currency reserves (though the situation has been improving recently), so the procurement of this type of guarantee is not easy, as illustrated above.

Other guarantees

Decree 15/2015/ND-CP also provides for other types of government guarantees that include a guarantee on the purpose of land use right for the project being unchanged even if the lenders exercise their step-in rights (Article 59), a guarantee on the priority provision of

\(^{42}\) Article 57 of Decree 15/2015/ND-CP.

\(^{43}\) Id.

\(^{44}\) Decision 230/QD-NHNN dated 11 February 2011.
public service (Article 61) and a guarantee on protection from confiscation of project assets (Article 62). Foreign investors of PPP projects in Vietnam have tried to obtain GGU even for the types of guarantees not clearly provided in the PPP regulations. Such guarantee includes guarantee on the overseas fund transfer, permission of overseas bank account opening and guarantee of protection from the change of laws.

iii Risk allocation

Risk allocation is a mandatory item to be provided in project proposals and feasibility study reports. In Vietnam, there is no official standard or reference risk allocation template, and risk allocation needs to be negotiated with the authorised state agency on a case-by-case basis. This negotiation takes quite long time and foreign investors often encounter difficulties.

iv Adjustment and revision

PPP project contracts may be amended or supplemented as a result of a change of project size, technical specifications of the facility or the total investment capital already agreed; a force majeure event; an amendment of the feasibility study report; or other circumstances as stipulated in the project contract. In practice, several terms of BOT projects were extended to make up for deficiencies caused because of the changes in the situation expected at the stage of project proposal and feasibility study.45

v Ownership of underlying assets

The provincial people's committee is responsible for site clearance and for completing procedures for allocation or lease of land to implement the project.46 Site clearance and resettlement in Vietnam often face difficulties and cause delays in implementation of PPP projects.

If a project involves transfer of a project facility, the authorised state agency and the investor shall reach agreement in the project contract on the conditions and procedures for such transfer, in accordance with the following principles:

a the investor notifies the authorised state agency about transfer of the project facility one year before the date of transfer or within such other period as agreed in the project contract;

b the authorised state agency arranges verification of the quality, value and status of the project facility;

c the investor and project company ensure the discharge of any financial or other obligation of project facility, undertakings of necessary repairs, maintenance and overhaul, and others, in accordance with the project contract; and

d the authorised state agency organises management and operation of the facility after it is handed over.

---


46 Article 45.1 of Decree 15/2015/ND-CP.
The investor and project company are permitted to mortgage assets and land use rights in the project and the right to commercially operate the project facility with the lender. However, only credit institutions authorised to operate in Vietnam are eligible for taking mortgage on the land use rights, and foreign financial institutions are not qualified to do so.

vi Early termination

Early termination of PPP project contracts may happen as a result of breach of contract without taking effective remedial measures, a force majeure event or in other circumstances stipulated in the project contract. In practice, if a project turns out to be commercially not feasible, solutions through revision of contract term, as mentioned above, or taking over by governmental institutions are sought.

VI FINANCE

There are international and domestic banks (including BIDV, Vietcombank and Vietinbank) that have capacity to finance PPP projects in Vietnam. In certain sectors, banks (especially international banks) are facing bankability issues caused by various reasons including foreign exchange-related issues and lack of appropriate risk allocation.

VII RECENT DECISIONS

In Vietnam, both international and domestic arbitrations are allowed for dispute resolution method in PPP projects. According to the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development’s website, five investment disputes are reported with Vietnam as respondent state, three of which were decided in favour of the state (the latest case is RECOFI v. Vietnam, whose decision was finalised in 2016). Vietnam is a party to the Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards (the New York Convention) and foreign arbitration awards should be recognised and enforceable in accordance with the rules thereof. In practice, however, recognition and enforcement were often unreasonably denied by Vietnamese courts because of reasons of inconsistency with the basic principle of law of Vietnam or that the dispute is not a commercial one covered by the New York Convention. Domestic arbitration is also growing rapidly and increasingly recognised and utilised in Vietnam.

47 Article 58 of Decree 15/2015/ND-CP.
48 Articles 174 and 175 of the Law on Land. It is not clear whether foreign bank branches in Vietnam are included in ‘credit institutions authorized to operate in Vietnam’ or not. At this point in time, opinions of the state authorities are not necessarily unified.
49 E.g., Huynh Tan Phat road project mentioned in footnote 3 above.
50 Available at http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS/CountryCases/229?partyRole=2 (accessed on 22 January 2018). This website provides information on investment disputes and does not focus on PPP projects.
VIII OUTLOOK

As mentioned above, a new PPP Law and draft decree replacing Decree 15/2015/ND-CP are expected to be finalised in the near future. Currently, it is not certain how Vietnam will tackle the issues caused by limited state budget, including unavailability of appropriate GGUs, but we hope several positive changes that are somehow implied in the publicised drafts will be included in the new regulations.

One of the desired changes is the facilitation of brownfield investments. Even if foreign investors have difficulties in forming greenfield PPP projects as a result of limited provision of GGUs, etc., they may take risks of such projects after local investors, especially major SOEs, take the development risks of such projects. Local investors can also benefit from brownfield investments by collecting funds earlier than originally scheduled. We hope the new regulations will clarify the procedures and conditions for brownfield investments that are not clear enough under the current regulations and facilitate brownfield investments.

Another change is enhancement of existing funds or establishment of new funds specifically reserved for supporting PPP projects so as to cover a shortage of state budget and to attract investors’ private investment capital source. However, details including possibility of inclusion of such change are not available yet.

Although many challenges still exist in PPP projects in Vietnam, there is no doubt about the huge demand for them.
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